

1 STEPHEN H. SILVER, ESQ. (CSB # 038241)
SILVER, HADDEN & SILVER
2 1428 Second Street
P.O. Box 2161
3 Santa Monica, CA 90407-2161
(310) 393-1486
4 (310) 395-5801 (Fax)

5 Attorneys for Petitioner Randell E. Francis

6
7

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10

11	Coordination Proceeding)	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))	PROCEEDING NO. 4049
12)	
	RETIREMENT CASES)	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
13	_____)	APPROVING JUDGMENT
	RANDELL E. FRANCIS,)	IMPLEMENTATION SETTLEMENT
14)	DETERMINING AMOUNTS OF
	Petitioner,)	BENEFITS, CONTRIBUTIONS, AND
15)	ATTORNEYS' FEES TO BE PAID UNDER
	vs.)	FINAL JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF
16)	MANDATE
	BOARD OF RETIREMENT, STANISLAUS)	DATE: N/A
17	COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT)	TIME: N/A
18	ASSOCIATION,)	DEPT: 304
	Respondent.)	Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No.
19	_____)	172034
20	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS,)	Honorable Stuart R. Pollak
21)	
	Real Party in Interest.)	
22)	
23)	
24	_____)	


25 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD AND OBJECTING
26 BENEFICIARIES:

27 //
28 ///

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 12, 2005 the Court entered the Order
2 Approving Judgment Implementation Settlement Determining Amounts of Benefits,
3 Contributions, and Attorneys' Fees To Be Paid Under Final Judgment and Writ of Mandate,
4 attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

5 December 14, 2005

SILVER, HADDEN & SILVER

6
7 By: 
8 STEPHEN H. SILVER
9 Attorneys for Petitioner
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 04584-pld.wpd

1 STEPHEN H. SILVER, ESQ. (CSB # 038241)
2 SILVER, HADDEN & SILVER
3 1428 Second Street
4 P.O. Box 2161
5 Santa Monica, CA 90407-2161
6 (310) 393-1486
7 (310) 395-5801 (Fax)

8 Attorneys for Petitioner Randell E. Francis

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

DEC 12 2005

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
BY: *Andrea Camery*
Deputy Clerk

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 Coordination Proceeding
12 Special Title (Rule 1550(b))
13 RETIREMENT CASES
14 _____
15 RANDELL E. FRANCIS,
16 Petitioner,
17 vs.
18 BOARD OF RETIREMENT, STANISLAUS)
19 COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT)
20 ASSOCIATION,)
21 Respondent.)
22 _____)
23 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS,)
24 Real Party in Interest.)

) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
) PROCEEDING NO. 4049
) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER APPROVING
) JUDGMENT IMPLEMENTATION
) SETTLEMENT DETERMINING
) AMOUNTS OF BENEFITS,
) CONTRIBUTIONS, AND ATTORNEYS'
) FEES TO BE PAID UNDER FINAL
) JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE
)
) DATE: December 12, 2005
) TIME: 1:30 p.m.
) DEPT: 304
) Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No.
) 172034
)
) Honorable Stuart R. Pollak

25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 1 OF 3

1 On December 12, 2005, this matter came before this Court in Department 304, at 1:30
2 p.m., upon the Joint Application For Order Approving Judgment Implementation Settlement
3 Determining Amounts of Benefits, Contributions and Attorneys' Fees to be Paid Under Final
4 Judgment and Writ of Mandate presented by counsel for (1) the class members, (2) the County
5 of Stanislaus and (3) the Stanislaus County Employees' Retirement Association's Board of
6 Retirement (hereinafter referred to as StanCERA). The terms of the Judgment Implementation
7 Settlement Determining Amounts of Benefits, Contributions and Attorneys' Fees to be Paid
8 Under Final Judgment and Writ of Mandate (the Agreement) were described in the NOTICE
9 OF 1) PROPOSED JUDGMENT IMPLEMENTATION SETTLEMENT DETERMINING
10 AMOUNTS OF BENEFITS, CONTRIBUTIONS, AND ATTORNEYS' FEES TO BE PAID
11 UNDER FINAL JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE; 2) HEARING TO CONSIDER
12 FAIRNESS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT ON DECEMBER 12, 2005; AND 3) WAIVER
13 OF OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED SETTLEMENT IF WRITTEN OBJECTIONS NOT
14 FILED ON OR BEFORE NOVEMBER 28, 2005 (the Notice), which is deemed given to the
15 entire class by timely service on individual active, deferred and retired class members, and
16 survivors and beneficiaries where appropriate, by StanCERA and the County; and StanCERA's
17 timely posting of a copy of the Agreement on its website; and StanCERA's service by mail on
18 the employee organizations formally recognized by the County to represent active members in
19 their employment relations with the County. The Notice advised all members of the terms of
20 the Agreement and the right of any class member to object and appear at the fairness hearing on
21 December 12, 2005 at 1:30 p.m. No objection was received from any class member.

22 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND
23 DECREED that the Agreement is fair and reasonable and in the best interest of the members of
24 the class, and was negotiated at arm's length and without collusion, and therefore is hereby
25 APPROVED BY THE COURT.

26 This Court retains jurisdiction to decide whether or not to approve any future
27 amendments to the Agreement, provided that no Court approval need be obtained where (1)
28 class members affected by the proposed amendment have been provided at least sixty days

EXHIBIT A

PAGE 2 OF 3

1 advance written notice of the proposed amendment, and (2) no affected class member submits
2 to the Court a timely written objection to the proposed amendment.

3 Pursuant to California Rule of Court 1859(h), the Court retains jurisdiction over the
4 parties to enforce the terms of this Order, including the terms of the Agreement.


5
6 DATED: 12/12/05 
7 STUART R. POLLAK, JUDGE

8 APPROVED AS TO FORM:


9 SILVER, HADDEN & SILVER

10
11 DATED: 12/12/05 
12 STEPHEN H. SILVER, ESQ.
13 Attorneys for Class Members

14 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

15 DATED: 12/12/05 
16 DAIRDRE E. McGRATH
17 Deputy County Counsel
18 Counsel for Respondent
19 StanCERA Board of Retirement

20 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

21 DATED: _____ 
22 MICHAEL H. KRAUSNICK
23 County Counsel
24 Counsel for Real Party in Interest
25 County of Stanislaus

26
27
28 04467-pld.wpd

EXHIBIT A

PAGE 3 OF 3